

Coventry City Council
Minutes of the Meeting of Cabinet Member for City Services held at 3.00 pm on
Monday, 12 August 2019

Present:

Members: Councillor P Hetherton (Cabinet Member)
Councillor T Mayer (Shadow Cabinet Member)

Other Members: Councillors R Bailey and AS Khan

Employees (by Directorate):

C Archer, Place Directorate
O Aremu, Place Directorate
R Goodyer
L Knight, Resources Directorate

Apologies: Councillor G Lloyd

Public Business

24. Declarations of Interests

There were no declarations of interest.

25. Minutes

The minutes of the meeting held on 29th July 2019 were signed as a true record. There were no matters arising.

26. Objections to Proposed Waiting Restrictions (Variation 8)

The Cabinet Member considered a report of the Deputy Chief Executive (Place) concerning objections that had been received to a Traffic Regulation Order advertised on 13th June, 2019 relating to proposed new waiting restrictions and amendments to existing waiting restrictions in a number of Wards across the City. 40 objections were received which included one petition. In addition 8 responses in support of proposals and 4 comments were also received. A summary of the proposed restrictions, objections and responses were set out in an appendix to the report. All the respondents were invited to the meeting and a number attended. Councillor Bailey attended the meeting and sought clarification of the proposals for Benedictine Road and Councillor A S Khan attended in respect of one of the proposals for the Beresford Avenue area.

Two objectors attended in respect of the proposals for Dulverton Avenue/ Leyland Road and outlined their concerns relating to the inconvenience caused by the loss of car parking spaces which were at a premium in the vicinity. An objector to the proposals for Lyndhurst Croft attended the meeting and informed that he wasn't an objector and was in support of the recommendation.

Two objectors and one supporter attended and outlined their views on the proposals for Station Avenue. Councillor Mayer, a Westwood Ward Councillor

informed of the parking issues and associated traffic problems in the area. Both objectors were requesting double yellow lines also be installed on the western side of Station Avenue. The resident in support of the proposals highlighted the daily problems that were being caused by parked cars. In light of all the issues raised and the need to balance the competing demands of both residents and businesses and find a long term solution, it was recommended that the restrictions weren't installed and a consultation be undertaken, with involvement from the Ward Councillors.

Two objectors attended the meeting regarding the proposals for Woodhouse Close/ Princethorpe Way expressing concerns about the impact of the proposed double yellow lines outside their property. They indicated that there were only issues at school dropping off/ picking up times, suggesting the length of the line could be reduced to allow for parking.

A supporter of the proposed restrictions for St Nicholas Street attended the meeting suggesting that the proposed waiting restrictions be extended.

Councillor A S Khan, Folehill Ward Councillor spoke in support of residents who were objecting to the proposals for waiting restrictions at the Beresford Avenue/ Medina Road junction, highlighting that it was a cul-de-sac with a very wide junction and residents weren't aware of any issues.

The Cabinet Member was informed of the additional written responses that had been received and provided additional information in support of objections. In regard to Beaudesert Road/Broomfield Road, the objector raised a query regarding one of the photos provided showing parked vehicles, the white van photo referred to related to the Kensington Road/Beaudesert Road junction, therefore a further photo was provided at the meeting showing similar parking taking place at the Beaudesert Road /Broomfield Road.

A written response had been received from the objector to the Browns Lane proposal who was unable to attend the meeting and was requesting that the matter be deferred to a future meeting to allow for his attendance. It was agreed that the proposal for Browns Lane would be removed from the Traffic Regulation Order.

Reference was also made to a petition, bearing 53 signatures, that had been submitted by Councillor B Kaur, a Foleshill Ward Councillor, who was invited to the meeting but was unable to attend. The petitioners were objecting to the proposed waiting restrictions on Mansel Street and Queen Marys Road,

The cost of introducing the proposed Traffic Regulation Order would be funded from the Highways Maintenance and Investment Capital Programme budget through the Local Transport Plan.

RESOLVED that, having considered the objections to the proposed waiting restrictions:

1. The implementation of the restrictions as advertised at Benedictine Road, Broomfield Road (removal of double yellow lines), Beresford Avenue area, Cross Road, Fleet Street, Gaveston Road/Westhill Road area, Margeson

Close/Barbican Rise, Stratford Street/Milton Street/ William Arnold Close, Thornhill Road/Leicester Causeway be approved.

2. The restrictions as advertised at the Beaudesert Road/ Broomfield Road junction be installed and the existing double yellow lines on Broomfield Road be reviewed to see if any of the lengths can be reduced further.

3. Approval be given that the restrictions are not implemented on Dulverton Avenue/ Leyland Road, that give way markings are installed at the junction and monitoring is undertaken. In the event of safety concerns relating to parking being raised or the Police advise of issues relating to dangerous or obstructive parking occurring, new proposals be advertised.

4. Approval be given for a shorter length of double yellow lines being installed on Shorncliffe Road (at its junction with Eastbourne Close) than originally advertised, approximately 1.5m shorter on the western side of the junction and 1 metre shorter on the eastern side of the junction.

5. The installation of the proposed double yellow lines as advertised on Hockley Lane and Lyndhurst Croft be approved, monitoring be undertaken to see if transference of parking occurs and if this occurs consideration be given to extending the double yellow lines to cover the whole length of Lyndhurst Croft.

6. Approval be given that the proposed restriction on Station Avenue is not installed and consultation is undertaken with local residents and businesses.

7. The installation of the waiting restrictions as advertised on Wilsons Lane be approved, with the request for an extension of the existing double yellow lines being included in the next waiting restriction review.

8. Approval be given for a shorter length of double yellow lines being installed on Woodhouse Close extending 10 metres into Woodside Close for junction protection on the southern side of the road (even numbered side) and approximately 70 metres, as originally proposed, on the northern (odd numbered) side of the road. In addition the double yellow lines on Princethorpe Way, outside no. 169 will be reduced by approximately 2.5 metres, therefore commencing at a point 5 metres north of the boundary between nos. 171 and 169.

9. Having considered the request, not an objection, to extend the proposed double yellow lines being installed on Tile Hill Lane, approval be given for an extension to the double yellow lines being advertised as part of the next waiting restriction review.

10. Having considered the request, not an objection, to extend the proposed double yellow lines being installed on St Nicholas Close, approval be given that the parking is monitored once the proposed double yellow lines are installed and if parking issues arise an extension to the double yellow lines is advertised as part of a future waiting restriction review.

11. In relation to the proposed waiting restrictions at the Beresford Avenue area, in light of the concerns raised, the proposed restrictions at the junction of Beresford Avenue and Medina Road are not installed and the area is monitored.

12. In light of the issue raised, the proposed restriction at Browns Lane is removed from the proposed Traffic Regulation Order and the objection is heard at the next Cabinet Member meeting on 9th September 2019.

13. Subject to recommendations 1 to 12 above, approval be given that the proposed Traffic Regulation Order is made operational.

27. Outstanding Issues

There were no outstanding issues.

28. Any other items of Public Business

There were no additional items of public business.

(Meeting closed at 4.30 pm)